PATENT COOPERATION TREATY PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 2002/M209				FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No. PCT/EP 03/03676				International filing date 09.04.2003	(day/mon	th/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 24.04.2002	
1	mation 1N25/		ent Classification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC			
	licant YER (CRO	PSCIENCE S.A. et a	l.				
1.	 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 							l
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
	These annexes consist of a total of 2 sheets.							
3.	This	repo	rt contains indications r	elating to the following i	tems:			
	1	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion					
	H		Priority					
	111		•	opinion with regard to r	noveltv. ir	nventive step	and industrial applicability	
	IV		Lack of unity of inven				,	
	٧	☒	Reasoned statement		ith regard atement	d to novelty,	inventive step or industrial applica	bility;
	VI		Certain documents ci	ted				
	VII		Certain defects in the	international application	า			
	VIII		Certain observations	on the international app	lication		·	
Date	of sub	missio	on of the demand		Date of	completion of	this report	
25.10.2003			06.05.	2004				
	Name and mailing address of the international					zed Officer		es Pelenze
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465						no-Götsch, one No. +49 89	3	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/03676

 Basis of the report 	I.	Basis	of the	report
---	----	-------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	scription, Pages					
	1-2	9	as originally filed				
	Cla	ims, Numbers					
	1-9		filed with telefax on 13.04.2004				
2.	With lang	h regard to the langu guage in which the int	age, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:						
		anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
		the language of publ	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).				
3.	With inte	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the nternational preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.				
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
		☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
		The statement that the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that the listing has been furnited	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.				
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
5.		This report has been been considered to g	established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).				
		(Any replacement sh report.)	neet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this				
6.	Add	Additional observations, if necessary:					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/03676

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1-9

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-9

No: Claims

No:

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-4 (yes), 6-9 (no opinion)

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The following documents cited in the ISR are referred to:

D1: DE-A-19749683 (also cited in the application)

D2: LLOYD AND DREW: 'Modification and Testing of Brewery Waste Yeast as a protein source for fruit fly bait' MANAGEMENT OF FRUIT FLIES IN THE PACIFIC

- ACIAR PROCEEDINGS, vol. 76, 1997, pages 192-198, XP001153059

Document D1 discloses a composition for luring and controlling arthropods comprising specific silicic acid and silicates (see p.2, lines 63-70) in combination with an attractant. The examples in D1 (Tabelle 1 p.4) describe baits comprising silicic acid "Aerosil 200 Hydrophil" in combination with protein, yeast and cereals. D1 does not disclose protein autolysate.

Document D2 discloses spray protein baits comprising protein autolysate derived from Saccharomices.

None of the prior art document discloses protein baits comprising one or more active compounds active against animal pests and silicilic acids and protein autolysate. Thus, the subject-matter of claims 1-9 is regarded as novel over D1-D2 (Art.33(2) PCT).

D2 is considered as the closest prior art.

The problem to be solved by the application is regarded as to provide a more effective protein bait over D2.

The solution proposed in the application consists in modifying the bait disclosed in D2 by adding the specific silicilic acid/silicate of D1 and one or more active compounds active against animal pests to the protein autolysate described in D2.

The protein baits are known in the art, and work on the principle that immature insect females need a protein meal for developing mature eggs. Thus, the bait spray technique relies on its attractant properties.

D2 teaches the advantages of baits based on protein autolysates instead of protein hydrolysate, which cause burning of foliage and are thus phytotoxic.

D1 teaches the advantages of using silicilic acid and silicates over classical pesticides, and describes them as less toxic, environmental friendly (p.2, lines 1-10 and lines 27-42). D1 describes using them in combination with pest-attractants (see p.2, lines 63-70).

According to D1, silicilic acid /silicates provide effective pesticidal activity while being nontoxic, easy to handle and environmentally friendly, thus offering a valid alternative to classical insecticides (p.2, lines 1-10 and lines 27-42).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/EP03/03676

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

It follows that the composition of claims 1-5 and its use as claimed in claims 6-9 is not suggested in D2 alone or in combination with D1 (Art.33(3)PCT).

On pp.19 (last paragraph)-20 (first paragraph), the use of the claimed composition in the field of veterinary medicine is described.

It follows that claims 6-9 in view of pp.19-20 are regarded as directed to therapeutic application, i.e. a method of treatment of the animal body.

For the assessment of the presently worded claims 6-9 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not regard as industrially applicable claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, however will allow claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

For the sake of completeness, the Applicant attention is directed to the following objections:

- The expression 'incorporated herein by reference' on pp. 20 should be deleted since the document it refers to is already claimed as priority document of the present application.
- To meet the requirements of Rule 5(1)(a)ii. PCT, the document D2 should be identified in the description and the relevant background art disclosed therein should be briefly discussed.